PROMOTING CONCEPTUAL UNDERSTANDING IN MATHEMATICS CLASSROOMS

by:
Crisanta D. Diego
Teacher III, Pablo Roman National High School

In today's mathematics education, there is growing recognition that procedural fluency—knowing how to carry out mathematical operations—is not sufficient for deep mathematical learning. What students need is conceptual understanding, which refers to comprehension of mathematical concepts, operations, and relations. According to the National Research Council (2001), conceptual understanding allows students to make sense of mathematical ideas, apply them in different contexts, and recognize connections between topics.

But what is Conceptual Understanding? Conceptual understanding involves knowing *why* a mathematical idea works, not just *how* to perform it. For example, rather than simply learning the formula for the area of a triangle, students with conceptual understanding grasp why that formula makes sense, often by relating it to a rectangle or through hands-on exploration.

Hiebert and Lefevre (1986) define conceptual knowledge as "knowledge that is rich in relationships." This contrasts with procedural knowledge, which is "a sequence of actions or steps for solving a problem." While both types are essential, emphasizing conceptual understanding helps students transfer learning to new problems, think critically, and retain knowledge longer.

Here are some strategies to promote conceptual understanding. First, Use of Visual Representations. Diagrams, number lines, graphs, and geometric models help students visualize and internalize abstract concepts. For instance, using area models for multiplication supports understanding of the distributive property (Boaler, 2016).

The second one is encouraging mathematical discussions to give students the opportunity to defend their responses, clarify their own ideas, and challenge the logic of others enhances comprehension. Talking about math reveals misconceptions and reinforces correct concepts (NCTM, 2014).

While the third is connecting multiple representations to link symbolic, graphical, verbal, and numerical representations helps students see concepts from different perspectives. For example, students can explore linear functions through tables, graphs, and equations simultaneously.

The fourth one is problem-based learning and real-world contexts to present students with meaningful problems encourages them to apply concepts rather than memorize procedures. Real-world applications, such as budgeting or measuring, make math relevant and engaging (Swan, 2005).

Another is encouraging conceptual questions. For instance, instead of asking, "What is 4 × 5?" why not “What makes 4 × 5 equal 20?"" or "How do you know that your response is accurate? And lastly, Formative Assessment and Feedback. Regular, low-stakes assessment focused on understanding helps teachers adjust instruction and students reflect on their thinking (Black & Wiliam, 1998).

Teachers are essential in helping students develop conceptual comprehension. They must design lessons that build connections, use questioning effectively, and create a classroom culture that values thinking and understanding over speed and correctness. Professional development that enhances teachers’ own conceptual understanding is equally critical.

A major challenge in promoting conceptual understanding is the time it requires compared to traditional instruction. Additionally, standardized testing often

emphasizes procedures. However, research supports that students who understand concepts perform better in the long term (Rittle-Johnson & Schneider, 2015).

To overcome these barriers, educators and policymakers should support curriculum frameworks that prioritize deep learning, provide time and training for teachers to shift instructional practices, and assess understanding through performance-based tasks, not just multiple-choice items.

For pupils to acquire long-lasting mathematical skills, it is imperative that conceptual knowledge in mathematics be fostered. By shifting the focus from rote memorization to meaningful learning, educators empower students to think critically, solve complex problems, and appreciate the beauty and utility of mathematics.

References:

- Wiliam, D., and Black, P. (1998). Inside the black box: Using classroom evaluation to raise standards. 139–148 in Phi Delta Kappan, 80(2). Boaler, J. (2016).
- Lefevre, P., and Hiebert, J. (1986). An overview of mathematical conceptual and procedural understanding. Conceptual and procedural knowledge: The case of mathematics, in Hiebert (Ed.). Erlbaum, Lawrence.
- NCTM, (2014). Key Takeaways: Ensuring All Students Succeed in Mathematics.
- The National Research Council. (2001). The National Academies Press, Washington, DC.
- Schneider, M. and Riley-Johnson, B. (2015). gaining both procedural and conceptual understanding of maths. Kadosh, R., and A.

- Swan, M. (2005). *Improving learning in mathematics: Challenges and strategies*. Department for Education and Skills Standards Unit (UK).