

## TEACHER-CENTERED AND STUDENT-CENTERED APPROACHES TO LEARNING: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

*by:*

**Jomar C. Rubio**

*Teacher III, Sibul Elementary School*

There has been a lot of interest and argument in education about the differences between teacher-centered and student-centered ways of learning. In teacher-centered education, the teacher is usually the main person who shares information. On the other hand, student-centered education focuses on the students and involves them in learning (Ghafar, 2023). Student-centered learning is becoming more popular because it has many benefits, such as making students more motivated, encouraging them to talk to each other, building their self-confidence, and giving them more freedom (Benlahcene et al., 2020). This comparison examines teacher-centered and student-centered learning methods' main features, advantages, problems, and results.

Student-centered learning involves students and encourages them to be independent and responsible (Benlahcene et al., 2020). This way of teaching focuses on making a good learning space that supports working together, being creative, and using different tools to improve understanding (Chana, 2022). According to Li (2023), student-centered learning motivates students to learn and gives them important life skills by letting them make decisions and control themselves. Furthermore, student-centered approaches, such as project-based learning and inquiry methods, have promoted critical thinking and involvement, albeit requiring more resources and effort from teachers (Levitt & Grubaugh, 2023).

In teacher-centered education, on the other hand, the teacher is seen as the most knowledgeable and authoritative person in the classroom (Ghafar, 2023) and is often linked to traditional ways of teaching. Levitt and Grubaugh (2023) say that this method

may give students order and direction, but it can also make it harder for them to be engaged and think critically. According to Tang (2023), student-centered learning changes teachers' and students' focus regarding learning. Teachers act as guides and helpers in the process. This change gives students more control over their learning. ("Psychology Curriculum and Teaching Based on the Student-Centered Approach," 2024) says that it makes learning more effective by letting teachers tailor their lessons to each student's needs.

According to Kerimbayev (2023), using technology in school has improved student-centered learning by giving them more engaging and personalized experiences. Students-centered methods can now be used in online and distance learning, which has helped them overcome problems and made teaching more effective (Kerimbayev, 2023). Additionally, student-centered learning has been connected to better academic performance, intrinsic drive, and the growth of key skills needed for success in an educational environment that is changing quickly (Wang, 2023).

The study comparing teacher-centered and student-centered learning methods shows that schools are moving toward more student-centered methods. Teacher-centered education is good because it gives students order and direction. However, student-centered learning creates a dynamic and engaging space that encourages students to be independent, think critically, and work together. Student-centered approaches help students do better in school, but they also help them learn important life skills and find their drive. Using student-centered learning methods along with current technology is important for preparing students for success in the 21st century as education changes.

## *References:*

Benlahcene, A., Lashari, S., Lashari, T., Shehzad, M., & De-li, W. (2020). Exploring the perception of students using student-centered learning approach in a malaysian public

university. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 9(1), 204.  
<https://doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v9n1p204>

Chana, S. (2022). Moroccan cpge students' critical thinking skills and learning approaches: the case of moulay ismail cpge classes. *International Journal of Linguistics Studies*, 2(2), 73-81. <https://doi.org/10.32996/ijls.2022.2.2.10>

Ghafar, Z. (2023). The teacher-centered and the student-centered: a comparison of two approaches. *IJAH*, 1(1), 18-23. <https://doi.org/10.61424/ijah.v1i1.7>

Kerimbayev, N. (2023). A student-centered approach using modern technologies in distance learning: a systematic review of the literature. *Smart Learning Environments*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-023-00280-8>

Levitt, G. and Grubaugh, S. (2023). Teacher-centered or student-centered teaching methods and student outcomes in secondary schools: lecture/discussion and project-based learning/inquiry pros and cons. *Eiki Journal of Effective Teaching Methods*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.59652/jetm.v1i2.16>

Li, J. (2023). Promoting effective second language acquisition: exploring the benefits and practical implementation of student-centered learning in china. *Lecture Notes in Education Psychology and Public Media*, 23(1), 75-79. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2753-7048/23/20230379>

Psychology curriculum and teaching based on the student-centered approach (2024). *Advances in Educational Technology and Psychology*, 8(3). <https://doi.org/10.23977/aetp.2024.080305>

Tang, K. (2023). Student-centered approach in teaching and learning: what does it really mean?. *Acta Pedagogica Asiana*, 2(2), 72-83. <https://doi.org/10.53623/apga.v2i2.218>

Wang, L. (2023). The impact of student-centered learning on academic motivation and achievement: a comparative research between traditional instruction and student-centered approach. *Journal of Education Humanities and Social Sciences*, 22, 346-353.  
<https://doi.org/10.54097/ehss.v22i.12463>