Ppedbataan.comPublications

HOW TO ADDRESS MISCONCEPTIONS IN SCIENCE EDUCATION

by: **Nenita J. Tan** *Teacher III, Pablo Roman National High School*

Most common misconceptions in science education present a significant challenge for educators, students, and policymakers alike. These incomplete understandings of scientific concepts often stem from intuitive reasoning, cultural influences, and prior learning experiences. Addressing these misconceptions is crucial for fostering scientific literacy and critical thinking in learners. This article explores the origins of misconceptions, their impact on learning, and strategies for educators to correct them, supported by scholarly research.

Misconceptions in Science may have come from the following origins. Intuitive Reasoning, many misconceptions arise from intuitive ideas that make sense to learners based on everyday experiences. For example, students may believe that heavier objects fall faster than lighter ones, an idea that conflicts with Galileo's findings on gravitational acceleration (Chi, 2008).

In Language and Communication, ambiguities or inaccuracies in language can lead to misunderstandings. For instance, describing electrons as "orbiting" the nucleus like planets around the sun oversimplifies their quantum behavior (Taber, 2019). While in Cultural and Educational Influences, prior knowledge and cultural perspectives can shape learners' understanding of scientific phenomena. For example, cultural beliefs about the causes of diseases may conflict with germ theory, leading to persistent misconceptions (Amin, Jeppsson, & Haglund, 2015).

The impact of Misconceptions can act as cognitive barriers, making it difficult for students to integrate new, accurate information. Research indicates that learners tend to

cling to prior beliefs even when presented with contradictory evidence (Vosniadou, 2013). These misunderstandings can hinder academic progress and impede the development of problem-solving and analytical skills critical for scientific inquiry.

As for strategies addressing misconceptions, first, diagnostic assessment, educators should identify misconceptions early through formative assessments, concept inventories, or classroom discussions. Tools like the Force Concept Inventory (Hestenes, Wells, & Swackhamer, 1992) have been instrumental in diagnosing physics misconceptions.

Second, in Conceptual Change Model, this pedagogical approach encourages learners to replace misconceptions with scientifically accurate concepts by creating cognitive conflict. For instance, presenting a counterintuitive experiment, such as observing objects of different masses falling at the same rate, can challenge faulty reasoning (Posner et al., 1982).

Third, in Active Learning Techniques, interactive strategies, such as peer instruction, inquiry-based learning, and problem-based learning, have proven effective in engaging students and promoting conceptual understanding (Crouch & Mazur, 2001).

Another is Analogies and Visualizations which carefully crafted analogies and visual tools can help bridge the gap between familiar concepts and complex scientific ideas. For example, using the analogy of traffic flow to explain electric current can make abstract concepts more relatable (Harrison & Treagust, 1996).

Lastly, in Professional Development for Educators, Teachers must be equipped with the knowledge and skills to recognize and address misconceptions. Ongoing professional development programs can provide educators with evidence-based strategies and resources (Luft & Hewson, 2014).

Addressing misconceptions in science education requires a multifaceted approach that integrates diagnostic assessment, conceptual change strategies, and active learning techniques. By understanding the origins and impacts of these misunderstandings, educators can better equip students with the tools to think critically and embrace a scientifically accurate worldview. Collaboration among educators, researchers, and policymakers is essential to create learning environments that foster curiosity and intellectual growth.

References:

Amin, T. G., Jeppsson, F., & Haglund, J. (2015). An introduction to the special issue of conceptual metaphor and embodied cognition in scientific education 37(5), International Journal of Science Education, 745-758.

Chi, M. T. H. (2008). There are three different kinds of conceptual change: category shift, mental model transformation, and belief revision. Science Education Research Handbook, 1, 61–82.

Mazur, E., and Crouch, C. H. (2001). Ten years of experience and outcomes with peer instruction. American Journal of Physics, 69(9), 970-977.

Treagust, D. F., and Harrison, A. G. (1996). Science education implications of secondary pupils' conceptual representations of atoms and molecules. 509–534 in Science Education, 80(5).

Swackhamer, G., Hestenes, D., and Wells, M. 1992. Force Concept Inventory. 141–158 in The Physics Teacher, 30(3).

Hewson, P. W., and Luft, J. A. (2014). research on science teacher professional development initiatives. 44–49 in The Science Teacher, 81(5). Posner, G.

