FORMATIVE AND SUMMATIVE ASSESSMENT STRATEGIES IN SCIENCE EDUCATION: LEVERAGING SOLO TAXONOMY

by:
Noime Saavedra Diwa
Teacher I, Pablo Roman National High School

Assessment in science education plays a critical role in supporting student learning, informing instructional practices, and measuring academic outcomes. Educators use a variety of assessment strategies to monitor student progress, evaluate their understanding, and foster a deeper engagement with scientific concepts. Broadly speaking, assessments can be divided into two categories: formative and summative. Formative assessments aim to provide feedback during the learning process, while summative assessments measure the cumulative achievement at the end of a learning period. The Structure of Observed Learning Outcomes (SOLO) taxonomy, developed by John Biggs and Kevin Collis, offers a powerful framework to understand the quality of student learning at different cognitive levels. This article explores both formative and summative assessment strategies in science education and demonstrates how SOLO taxonomy can enhance the assessment process.

Formative assessments are conducted throughout the learning process, with the intention of providing ongoing feedback to both students and teachers. They are primarily diagnostic tools that help educators adjust their teaching strategies to meet the diverse learning needs of students. These assessments are typically informal, flexible, and non-graded, allowing students to reflect on their learning and receive guidance before a final evaluation.

In science education, formative assessments serve multiple purposes: to monitor student understanding, to identify misconceptions or gaps in knowledge, to encourage self-reflection and peer feedback, and to inform instructional decisions.

Summative assessments are designed to evaluate student learning at the end of a unit, semester, or course. They aim to assess the cumulative knowledge, skills, and understanding that students have developed throughout the learning process. Summative assessments often result in a grade or a final judgment about student performance.

The purpose of summative assessments is to: evaluate the extent to which students have achieved specific learning outcomes, provide a final judgment about student performance or academic progress, determine whether further instructional support is needed, and contribute to final grades or standardized certifications.

The Structure of Observed Learning Outcomes (SOLO) taxonomy, developed by Biggs and Collis in 1982, provides a systematic framework for categorizing the depth and quality of students' learning outcomes. It helps educators understand how students' cognitive skills develop and allows for a more nuanced evaluation of their work. SOLO taxonomy consists of five hierarchical levels, each representing a different level of cognitive complexity: Prestructural, Unistructural, Multistructural, Relational, and Extended Abstract.

In formative assessment, SOLO taxonomy can be used to evaluate the depth of student learning and guide instructional decisions. By identifying students' cognitive levels, teachers can tailor their feedback and provide targeted support to help students progress to higher levels of understanding.

1. Classroom Discussions: Teachers can listen to student responses during discussions and assess the complexity of their ideas using the SOLO levels. If a student offers a unistructural response (e.g., simply naming the stages of photosynthesis), the teacher can ask follow-up questions to encourage deeper thinking, such as "How do these stages interact with one another?"

2. Peer Review: When students engage in peer assessments, SOLO-based rubrics can help them evaluate the quality of their peers' work. Students can assess whether their peer's explanation is at the multistructural level (listing facts) or relational level (integrating concepts and explaining relationships). This reflective process helps students internalize higher-order thinking.

3. Concept Maps: Concept maps are effective tools in formative assessments. A concept map that connects ideas at the relational level shows a student's ability to recognize relationships between concepts, such as how the human body uses energy in respiration and its effect on the environment. Teachers can give feedback on how to improve and deepen these connections.

4. Interactive Quizzes: Teachers can use formative quizzes to assess students' understanding at different cognitive levels. For example, a simple factual recall question may assess unistructural understanding, while a more complex question requiring students to compare two scientific theories could assess relational or extended abstract thinking.

In summative assessments, SOLO taxonomy can help teachers design tasks that challenge students to demonstrate their highest-level understanding. It can also guide the grading process, ensuring that students are evaluated according to the complexity of their work.

1. Final Exams: The design of final exams can include questions that span different SOLO levels. Simple recall questions assess unistructural understanding, while essay questions might require students to explain the relationships between key scientific theories (relational level) or apply their knowledge to hypothetical scenarios (extended abstract level).

2. Capstone Projects: A summative capstone project might require students to conduct an independent experiment or research project. At the relational level, students could integrate several scientific concepts in their investigation. At the extended abstract level, students might synthesize their findings with broader scientific theories or propose new research avenues based on their conclusions.

3. Rubrics for Research Papers: A well-designed rubric based on SOLO taxonomy can guide students in writing research papers. For example, students at the unistructural level may provide basic descriptions of their experiments, while students at the extended abstract level would generate new hypotheses or apply theoretical knowledge to predict real-world outcomes.

Formative and summative assessments are critical components of science education, each serving unique functions. Formative assessments offer ongoing feedback to guide learning, while summative assessments evaluate overall achievement. By using the SOLO taxonomy, teachers can gain insights into the quality and depth of students' understanding, guiding them toward more advanced cognitive levels. Incorporating SOLO-based strategies into both formative and summative assessments helps create a more comprehensive approach to science education, promoting deep learning, critical thinking, and scientific inquiry.

References:

Biggs, J., & Collis, K. (1982). Evaluating the quality of learning: The SOLO taxonomy (Structure of Observed Learning Outcomes). Academic Press.

Biggs, J., & Tang, C. (2011). Teaching for Quality Learning at University. McGraw-Hill Education.

Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and Classroom Learning. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 5(1), 7–74.

Harlen, W. (2007). Assessment of Learning. Sage Publications.