

A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF VIRTUAL AND TRADITIONAL SCIENCE LABORATORIES

by:

Cecille B. Delgado

Teacher II, Limay Senior High School

Science laboratories are integral to hands-on learning experiences, allowing students to apply theoretical knowledge and develop practical skills. As a science teacher, I have noticed a shift of pedagogy and strategies from traditional science investigation to the virtual conquest of scientific concepts and principles. Consequently, through this article I aimed to provide a comprehensive comparison between the virtual and traditional science laboratories, exploring their benefits and limitations. Through an examination of scholarly research and educational studies, this article presents a balanced perspective on the two laboratory approaches. The comparison considers factors such as accessibility, cost, safety, learning outcomes, and student engagement.

In terms of accessibility, traditional laboratories have long been the standard, but virtual laboratories have emerged as a viable alternative in recent years. One of the main reasons for this is the fact that virtual laboratories offer enhanced accessibility, particularly for students in remote areas or schools with limited resources, as they can access experiments and resources online. Traditional laboratories, on the other hand, may be geographically limited or require substantial infrastructure investment. Moreover, when it comes to cost, virtual laboratories can be more cost-effective, eliminating the need for expensive equipment, consumables, and maintenance. Traditional laboratories, however, may incur higher expenses due to the procurement and upkeep of physical materials.

One of the notable advantages of virtual laboratories is the enhanced safety that they provide. Students can conduct experiments without being exposed to potentially

hazardous chemicals or equipment. Traditional laboratories, while important for hands-on experience, may involve risks if safety protocols are not followed strictly. Virtual laboratories minimize the potential for accidents and allow students to explore experiments without compromising their well-being.

Furthermore, both virtual and traditional laboratories have the potential to enhance learning outcomes as implied in the study of Rowe et al. (2019). Virtual laboratories allow for self-paced learning, enabling students to repeat experiments and adjust parameters, which promotes deeper understanding. Traditional laboratories, with their tactile and sensory experiences, can contribute to the development of practical skills and scientific techniques. However, the research of Abou & Ayoubi (2017) suggests that virtual laboratories can lead to comparable or even better learning outcomes when implemented effectively. Student engagement is also a critical factor, and while virtual laboratories can provide interactive features and simulations, traditional laboratories often foster greater social interaction and collaboration.

In comparing virtual and traditional science laboratories, both approaches have distinct advantages and limitations. Virtual laboratories offer increased accessibility, cost efficiency, and safety, while traditional laboratories provide tactile experiences and foster greater social interaction. Depending on the educational context and resources available, a combination of virtual and traditional laboratories can be implemented to optimize learning outcomes and engagement. Future research and ongoing evaluation are crucial to further refine and improve virtual laboratory experiences, ensuring they effectively complement traditional laboratory practices and offer students a well-rounded science education.

References:

Abou Faour, M., & Ayoubi, Z. (2017). The effect of using virtual laboratory on grade 10 students' conceptual understanding and their attitudes towards physics. *Journal of Education in Science Environment and Health*, 4(1), 54-68.

Gamage, K. A., Wijesuriya, D. I., Ekanayake, S. Y., Rennie, A. E., Lambert, C. G., & Gunawardhana, N. (2020). Online delivery of teaching and laboratory practices: Continuity of university programmes during COVID-19 pandemic. *Education Sciences*, 10(10), 291.

Hernández-de-Menéndez, M., Vallejo Guevara, A., & Morales-Menendez, R. (2019). Virtual reality laboratories: a review of experiences. *International Journal on Interactive Design and Manufacturing (IJIDeM)*, 13, 947-966.

Rowe, R. J., Koban, L., Davidoff, A. J., & Thompson, K. H. (2018). Efficacy of online laboratory science courses. *Journal of Formative Design in Learning*, 2, 56-67.

Veza, Ibham, Ahmed Sule, Nicky Rahmana Putra, Muhammad Idris, Ihwan Ghazali, Irianto Irianto, Ulka Chandini Pendit, and Gipin Mosliano. "Virtual Laboratory for Engineering Education: Review of Virtual Laboratory for Students Learning." *Engineering Science Letter* 1, no. 02 (2022): 41-46.