dependent and the official Website of DepED Division of Bataan

DESIGN THINKING AND SCIENCE EDUCATION: A PERFECT MATCH FOR 21ST CENTURY LEARNING

by: **Shermin D. Atienza** Teacher III, Orani National High School-Main

In this 21st century world, it's becoming increasingly important to develop students' critical thinking, problem-solving, and innovation skills. One approach that has gained attention in recent years is design thinking. Originally used in product and service design, design thinking is now being applied to various fields, including education.

Science education is an area where design thinking can be particularly useful. By using design thinking principles, students can learn how to approach scientific problems in a creative and iterative manner and build their natural curiosity and imagination. Design thinking is a human-centered problem-solving approach that emphasizes empathy, collaboration, and iterative ideation. It consists of several key principles and stages that guide the process.

(Brown, 2008) discussed an in-depth exploration of these key principles, first is empathy: Design thinking starts with understanding the needs and perspectives of the people for whom you are designing. Empathy involves observing, engaging, and empathizing with users to gain deep insights into their experiences and challenges. Second is define: In this stage, you define the problem or challenge that needs to be addressed. It involves synthesizing the insights gathered during the empathy stage and clearly framing the problem statement from the user's perspective. Third is ideate: Ideation is the stage where you generate a wide range of possible solutions to the defined problem. The focus is on fostering a free-flowing and non-judgmental environment that encourages creative thinking. Techniques such as brainstorming, mind mapping, and rapid prototyping are often used during this stage. Fourth is prototype: Prototyping involves creating a tangible



representation of one or more of the ideas generated during the ideation stage. Prototypes can be physical models, digital simulations, or even role-playing scenarios. The purpose of prototyping is to test and refine ideas quickly and cheaply. Fifth is test: In the testing stage, prototypes are evaluated and refined based on feedback and insights from users. Testing allows designers to gather data, observe user interactions, and uncover potential flaws or areas for improvement. This iterative process helps in refining and iterating the design solutions. Lastly is iterate, iteration is a fundamental aspect of design thinking. It involves repeating the previous stages (ideate, prototype, test) multiple times, making incremental improvements and refinements based on user feedback. This iterative cycle allows for continuous learning and improvement.

These key stages and principles of design thinking can be applied to science education in various ways, harnessing its potential to foster creativity, innovation, and problemsolving skills among students. Design thinking encourages students to identify and define real-world problems within the context of science. By integrating problem-based learning into science education, students engage in authentic and meaningful scientific inquiry. They learn to apply scientific concepts and processes to address challenges, fostering creativity and innovative thinking. Design thinking also promotes an iterative approach, where students generate, test, and refine multiple solutions to scientific problems. This iterative process encourages students to think critically, analyze results, and modify their approaches based on feedback and evidence. It nurtures a growth mindset, resilience, and adaptability. It further emphasizes collaboration and interdisciplinary teamwork. In science education, students work together in groups to solve complex problems, share ideas, and leverage diverse perspectives. This collaborative environment enhances communication skills, encourages active listening, and nurtures empathy. Moreover, design thinking places a strong emphasis on understanding users' needs and designing solutions that address those needs effectively. In science education, students can adopt a user-centric approach by considering the needs and perspectives of various stakeholders, such as communities, individuals, or the



depedbataan.comPublications

environment affected by scientific issues. This approach encourages empathy, ethical considerations, and responsible decision-making. In addition, design thinking also promotes divergent thinking and encourages students to generate a wide range of possible solutions to scientific problems. By fostering creativity, science education becomes more engaging and exciting, as students explore innovative approaches and develop unique solutions. Design thinking also nurtures an entrepreneurial mindset, encouraging students to apply scientific knowledge in novel and practical ways. Mos importantly, by integrating design thinking into science education, students connect their learning to real-world applications and societal challenges. This connection enhances students' motivation, as they see the value and impact of their scientific knowledge and problem-solving skills in addressing relevant issues.

Throughout the design thinking process, collaboration and interdisciplinary teamwork are highly encouraged. It's important to note that design thinking is not a linear process, and the stages described above may overlap or occur in a different order depending on the context and specific needs of the project. The flexibility and adaptability of design thinking allow it to be applied to various domains, including science education, to promote innovative and user-centric solutions. Design thinking provides a framework for science education that goes beyond traditional rote learning. It empowers students to think critically, collaborate effectively, and approach scientific problems with creativity and innovation, preparing them for the challenges and opportunities of the 21st century.

References:

Brown, T. (2008). Design Thinking. Harvard Business Review, 86(6), 84-92.

